## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DAVID S. FIELD, ET AL.,

21-cv-1990 (JGK)

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

- against -

EXPONENTIAL WEALTH INC., ET AL.,

Defendants.

## JOHN G. KOELTL, District Judge:

The Court held a status conference by phone on November 4, 2024. A transcript of the conference is attached.

The time for the parties to make any motion for summary judgment is **December 20**, **2024**. No pre-motion conference is necessary. Any motion should comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, Local Civil Rule 56.1, and this Court's Individual Rules. Any response must be filed by **January 31**, **2025**. Any reply must be filed by **February 14**, **2025**.

If no motion for summary judgment is filed, the parties should file a joint pretrial order, together with motions in limine, requests to charge, and voir dire requests, by January 31, 2025. Any responses, objections, and replies must be filed by February 14, 2025. The Court will then hold a final pretrial conference on March 14, 2025, at 2:30 p.m. The parties should be ready for trial on 72 hours' notice on and after April 4, 2025.

The Clerk should send a copy of this Order, and the attached transcript, to the <u>pro se</u> defendants by mail and note mailing on the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

November 6, 2024

John G. Koeltl

United States District Judge

	OB4DFieC	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	DR. DAVID S. FIELD, ET AL.,	
4	Plaintiffs,	
5	v.	21CV01990
6	EXPONENTIAL WEALTH, INC., ET AL.,	
7	Defendants.	Conference
9	x	New York, N.Y. November 4, 2024
10		3:00 p.m.
11	Before:	
12	HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,	
13		District Judge
14	APPEARANCES	
15	WESTERMAN BALL EDERER MILLER ZUCKER & SHARFSTEIN, LLP Attorney for Plaintiffs	
16	BY: MICHAEL ROBERT KOBLENZ	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1	1	

(Case called)

THE COURT: Who is on the line for the plaintiff, please?

MR. KOBLENZ: Michael Koblenz, your Honor, and my client is here, Dr. Field.

MR. FIELD: Hi. It's Dr. Field. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is anyone on the line for the defendants?

MR. KOBLENZ: No.

THE COURT: Okay. This conference was scheduled on the docket sheet for today. It's not clear that the defendants received other notice of the conference. We're keeping a transcript of the conference. I have a court reporter. I'll see that a transcript is sent to the defendants.

Where are we?

I won't take any actions because the defendants aren't on the phone.

The letter from the plaintiff, which is in the docket, was the last item, the plaintiffs' October 11 letter in which the plaintiffs indicate that there's no alternative but to go to trial on the case, even though the individual plaintiff would like not to go to trial, but there's no alternative.

The alternative, of course, is to make a motion for summary judgment by the plaintiff. Whether that motion would be well taken or not, I don't know, because I haven't obviously

seen such a motion. If the plaintiffs decide that they want to make a motion for summary judgment, they can. I'm not suggesting that they should do that. I'm just saying that's the next step.

The magistrate judge, in her order, specifically had said to review the Court's individual -- my practices with respect to dispositive motions and trial. So if the plaintiffs decide to make dispositive motion, they can. They don't need a premotion conference. If the plaintiffs decide not to make a dispositive motion, then of course the next step is trial. There's no alternative: Dispositive motions and/or trial.

So what I will do is I will give you a schedule, reasonable schedule, for dispositive motions, and if there are no dispositive motions, then the next step is trial. So that's where we are.

MR. KOBLENZ: Okay. This is Michael Koblenz. This has been pulling teeth with the defendant. He has ignored court orders for discovery. This has been going on. I spoke to him last week. I put it in my letter to the Court. And of course he doesn't even show up for this call today, which is typical of the way it's been going.

My client has suffered quite a bit as a result of this. We went to mediation, and the Court turns around and gives him a free letter based upon, you know, some claims that he made, which obviously, you know, we don't believe, because

we know he got \$2 million from our client and we don't know where he put that money or how he used it.

The depositions, which we did all of them, he doesn't remember, he doesn't have this record, he didn't file tax returns, he has no business record. I mean, on and on and on. And, you know, he perjured himself in my opinion, your Honor, about 100 different ways. And that's who we're dealing with.

So I'm trying to avoid my client going to more expense and more aggravation. He is not well. His wife is not well. And he can speak for himself. He is not in New York. And, you know, we're looking for a solution.

I had asked the defendant if he would, you know, consider a default judgment, just, you know, move on so the Court doesn't have to waste its time on a trial, and of course he said, no, because he has nothing to lose. He doesn't care.

You know, our clients are in Iowa. I mean, it's the last result -- the last thing we can do is file a summary judgment. I leave that up to Dr. Field. But going to trial I think is a waste of this Court's time and is a miscarriage of justice for Dr. Field to have to go through a trial, coming from out-of-state. And the defendant probably won't even show. If he does show, he's got nothing to say.

So we're trying to get past that. We tried mediation, and he was not cooperative at all and couldn't make any real offer to try and resolve this case.

I don't know if Dr. Field has anything he wants to add, but he's on the line, so --

MR. FIELD: Good afternoon, Judge. I appreciate the time.

Yes. I don't -- Mr. Koblenz was very accurate. There is a letter sent to the Court on May 16, 2024, to Judge Cave. I think it outlines the entire summary of where we're at. That was for settlement. Nothing really took place after that.

I mean, I don't know what else we can do. We've chased this man as far as we can. Other people have achieved judgments against him, but we have not been able to get him to the court.

There's nothing else I can say other than I've got total documented fraud from day one, and he's been fraudulent from the first conversations that we figured out a little too late who he was. But that's a different story, and I don't want to waste your time. But we don't really -- we'd like to get this over with. We've been in court since March of '21, and we're still at this point without resolution.

So that's all I can say and reiterate where we're at. We'd just like to solve this.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a couple of observations.

First, this case was brought in New York. Why the plaintiffs decided on New York as opposed to where they live, I don't know at this point, but the case is --

MR. KOBLENZ: That's because their operations, your Honor, were in New York.

THE COURT: So here we are, the plaintiffs brought the case in New York.

Second, both Magistrate Judge Cave and myself are simply disinterested jurists who have to listen to both sides. I fully appreciate the degree to which the plaintiff believes in their case. A motion for summary judgment gives the opportunity for the plaintiffs to say, we're entitled to judgment as a matter of law, there are no undisputed — there are no undisputed facts. If the plaintiffs are correct in all of their allegations, that, in fact, there are no disputed issues of fact, then a motion for summary judgment is an appropriate vehicle to make those arguments. If there are disputed issues of fact, then it's not an appropriate mechanism and we go to trial.

Now, I realize trial is burdensome on all parties. If the plaintiffs are correct that the defendant never shows up for trial, then perhaps a default is appropriate at that point subject to all of the requirements for a default. If not, and the defendants do defend the case, then a jury will determine whether all of the allegations being made by the plaintiff are, in fact, correct, and that the plaintiffs are entitled to judgment, and what that judgment should be.

It doesn't really help to simply say how clear the

plaintiffs contend that their positions are. As I said, the next step is if that's clear and there are no disputed issues of fact, then it's a motion for summary judgment. If that's not made or if that's unsuccessful, the next step then is trial.

As to settlement, as the District Court Judge, I never get involved in settlement, because I never want the parties to think that I'm influenced at all by the positions that they take in the course of settlement, or that I decide any substantive matters based on any effort to get a case settled. I don't do that. I'm here to decide issues of law and to preside in a fair manner in the case. So I don't get involved in settlement. If the parties were unable to settle, then the next step is can the plaintiffs prevail on a motion for summary judgment or at trial.

So that's where we are. I will do a scheduling order, and it's up to you, the parties, whether they decide whether to proceed with a motion for summary judgment or not. If they don't proceed to summary judgment, then the next step is trial.

MR. KOBLENZ: Okay.

MR. FIELD: Okay. This is Dr. Field. I understand.

I mean, I understand the position you're in. I'm not there of course, but I understand.

THE COURT: All right. Again, if it's necessary to adjust the schedule at all, all you have to do is write me a

MR. KOBLENZ: Do you have any idea, your Honor, while we're here, as to what that scheduling order might look like?

THE COURT: Well, I would certainly give the plaintiff 30 days to make a motion for summary judgment, or not, and then put in a response and a reply time.

If the motion for summary judgment is not made within 30 days, then I would provide for a schedule, again, probably 30 days thereafter, probably into the new year sometime for filing a joint pretrial order with request to charge, voir dire, motions in limine, and then a time to respond to those, usually about two weeks.

Then a final pretrial conference. Then a trial date probably in March or April. That's a rough schedule.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MR}}$.$  KOBLENZ: Your Honor, I would ask for 45 days to make the motion.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KOBLENZ: Thank you.

Dr. Field, is there anything you --

MR. FIELD: No. I understand. I understand I'm not in the operating room, so I don't have a clue what you're talking about. I'm just kidding. You know, I understand. So

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

## Case 1:21-cv-01990-JGK-SLC Document 258 Filed 11/06/24 Page 11 of 11 $_{ m Q}$

```
OB4DFieC
               THE COURT: All right.
1
               MR. FIELD: Thank you for your time. I appreciate it.
2
               THE COURT: Thank you.
3
               (Adjourned)
4
5
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```